IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

EDWARD J. NICHOLAS,

Petitioner, : CIVIL NO. 3:06-CV-2104

v. : (Judge Caputo)

PENNSYLVANIA ATTORNEY

GENERAL, et al.,

Respondents.

EDWARD J. NICHOLAS, :

Petitioner, : CIVIL NO. 3:06-CV-2105

v. : (Judge Caputo)

PENNSYLVANIA ATTORNEY :

GENERAL, :

Respondent. :

MEMORANDUM

I. Background

Petitioner, Edward J. Nicholas, an inmate at the State Correctional Institution in Albion, Pennsylvania, commenced the above-captioned actions with *pro se* petitions for writ of habeas corpus filed pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Also pending are Petitioner's applications to proceed *in forma pauperis* (Doc. 5 in CIVIL NO. 3:06-CV-2104 and Doc. 4 in CIVIL NO. 3:06-CV-2105). The Court has reviewed the two cases and found that they involve a common Respondent, they arise from a common set of operative facts, and they involve common questions of law. For the reasons outlined below, the Court will direct that the cases be consolidated pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 42(a),

and the consolidated matter will proceed under Civil Action No. 3:06-CV-2104, which was the action first filed by Petitioner.

II. Discussion

Under Rule 11 of the Rules Governing § 2254 Habeas Petitions, subject to exceptions not relevant here, the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure apply to § 2254 habeas proceedings. See Rule 11 of the Rules Governing § 2254 Habeas Petitions, 28 U.S.C. foll. § 2254 (1977). Rule 42(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure states:

When actions involving a common question of law or fact are pending before the court, it may order a joint hearing or trial of any or all the matters in issue in the actions; it may order all the actions consolidated; and it may make such orders concerning proceedings therein as may tend to avoid unnecessary costs or delay.

Fed. R. Civ. P. 42(a).

Although both petitions name the Attorney General of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, the only appropriate Respondent for both petitions would be the "warden of the facility where the prisoner is being held, not . . . some other remote supervisory official." *Rumsfeld v. Padilla*, 124 S.Ct. 2711, 2718 (2004). Moreover, both petitions challenge Petitioner's conviction and sentencing in the Dauphin County Court of Common Pleas in criminal docket # 3685 of 1997 ("3685 CR 1997"). To obtain relief on the petitions, Petitioner bears the burden of demonstrating that the State court adjudication "resulted in a decision that was contrary to, or involved an unreasonable application of Federal law, as determined by the Supreme Court of the United States . . . [or] resulted in a decision that was based on an unreasonable determination of the facts." 28 U.S.C. § 2254(d)(1) and (2). The same conviction and sentence are challenged in both of the above-captioned actions, and the facts and legal standard are identical for both. Consequently, since the actions

Case 3:06-cv-02105-ARC-KH Document 8 Filed 11/13/06 Page 3 of 5

contain common factors of law and fact, and they involve the same parties, this Court will

order the consolidation of the two actions pursuant to Rule 42(a) and will proceed with the

consolidated matter under Petitioner's first filed action, Civil Action No. 3:06-CV-2104, upon

the issues stated therein. An appropriate Order follows.

Dated: November 13, 2006.

/s/ A. Richard Caputo

A. RICHARD CAPUTO

United States District Judge

3

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

EDWARD J. NICHOLAS,

Petitioner, : CIVIL NO. 3:06-CV-2104

v. : (Judge Caputo)

PENNSYLVANIA ATTORNEY

GENERAL, et al.,

Respondents.

EDWARD J. NICHOLAS, :

Petitioner, : CIVIL NO. 3:06-CV-2105

v. : (Judge Caputo)

PENNSYLVANIA ATTORNEY

GENERAL,

Respondent.

<u>ORD</u>ER

AND NOW, THIS 13th DAY OF NOVEMBER, 2006, in accordance with the foregoing memorandum, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- Petitioner's applications for leave to proceed in forma pauperis (Doc. 5 in CIVIL NO. 3:06-CV-2104 and Doc. 4 in CIVIL NO. 3:06-CV-2105) are GRANTED.
- 2. The Clerk of Court is directed to consolidate Nicholas v. Pennsylvania Attorney General, Civil Action No. 3:06-CV-2105 into Nicholas v. Pennsylvania Attorney General, Civil Action No. 3:06-CV-2104, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 42(a).

3. The Clerk of Court shall **CLOSE** the file in Civil Action No. 3:06-CV-2105.

/s/ A. Richard Caputo
A. RICHARD CAPUTO
United States District Judge